A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 A61M25/00 A61M25/01

A61L29/16

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 A61M A61L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

	data base consulted during the International search (name of dat nternal, WPI Data, PAJ	a base and, where practical, search terms used)	
EF 0-11	iternar, wri Data, FAO			
C. DOCUM	IENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the	e relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	
Х	WO 91/00074 A (NILSSON LEIF) 10 January 1991 (1991-01-10) page 8, line 2 - line 20; figu	res	1,2,5,6, 15-22,28	
X	US 6 048 332 A (DUFFY NIALL ET 11 April 2000 (2000-04-11) column 3, line 10 - column 4, figures	•	1,6,11, 12, 15-22,28	
X	WO 02/24246 A (HUNTER GARY FRAM 28 March 2002 (2002-03-28) the whole document	NCIS)	1-10,12, 13, 15-22,28	
X	US 4 603 152 A (LAURIN DEAN ET 29 July 1986 (1986-07-29) column 3, line 55 - line 62; f	Í	1-8, 15-22,28	
		-/		
χ Furt	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed i	n annex.	
Special categories of cited documents: A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		 *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. *&* document member of the same patent family 		
Date of the	actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international sear	ch report	
14 April 2004		21/04/2004		
Name and r	mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni,	Authorized officer		
	Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Kousouretas, I		



		PC1/DK 03/00853
C.(Continue	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Х	US 6 053 905 A (WALLS JAMES A ET AL) 25 April 2000 (2000-04-25) abstract; figures	29-31
Х	US 6 050 934 A (JOHNSON SHELLEY N ET AL) 18 April 2000 (2000-04-18)	1,2,5,6, 12,22,
	column 12, line 64 - column 13, line 9; figures	24,28
A	US 3 598 127 A (WEPSIC JAMES G) 10 August 1971 (1971-08-10) the whole document	1,2,4-6, 11
A	US 4 834 711 A (GREENFIELD ALBERT R ET AL) 30 May 1989 (1989-05-30) abstract; figures	1-22,24, 28
	. <u></u>	
ļ		
į		



international application No. PCT/DK 03/00853

This international Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons: X	Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 1 of first sheet)
1. X Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Article 34(4)(a)(1) and Rule 67.1(11) PCT for claim 23 Rule 39.1(1v) PCT — Method for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery for claims 25–27 2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an each that no meaningful international Search can be carried out, specifically: 3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). BOX II Observations where unity of invention is tacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all esearchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. No required additional search fees were limely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims hos: The mark on Protest The additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the Invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims hose: The additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the Inventional fragment fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the Invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims hose: The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant of the search protest.	This international Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
because they relate to subject finites finite required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Article 34(4)(a)(1) And Rulle 67.1(11) PCT for claim 23 Rule 39.1(1v) PCT — Method for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery for claims 25–27 2. Claims Noa: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international Search can be carried out, specifically: 3. Claims Noa: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is tacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all recultred additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant Protest.	
Article 34(4)(a)(1) and Rule 67.1(11) PCT for claim 23 Rule 39.1(1v) PCT — Method for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery for claims 25–27 2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically: 3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	1. X Claims Nos.: 23, 25-27 because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Rule 39.1(1v) PCT - Method for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery for claims 25–27 2. Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international Search can be carried out, specifically: 3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet) This international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	Article 34(4)(a)(1) and Rule 67.1(11) PCT for claim 23
Claims Nos.:	Rule 39.1(iv) PCT — Method for treatment of the human or animal body by
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically: 3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and littrd sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this International application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the Invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	surgery for charms 25-27
an extent that no meaningful international Search can be carried out, specifically: 3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple Inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	an extent that no meaningful international Search can be carried out, specifically:
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1.	
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this International application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the Invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the Invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	This laternational Cographics Authority found multiple investigate in this laternational coefficiency of all and
searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	r his international Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international Search Report covers all
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 1. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the Invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1. The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	searchable claims.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 1. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the Invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1. The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
Covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 1. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1. The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
Covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 1. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1. The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
Covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 1. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1. The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	
Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	4 No regulated additional search fees were timely hold by the employer Consequently, this international Search Beneat is
The data in the data is not a constitution of the applicant o process.	restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
The data in the data is not a constitution of the applicant o process.	
The data in the data is not a constitution of the applicant o process.	
The data in the data is not a constitution of the applicant o process.	
The data in the data is not a constitution of the applicant o process.	Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accommanded by the applicant's protect
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.	The distance in the second control of the applicant o process.
	No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

Information on patent family members

PCT/DK 03/00853

	atent document d in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WN	9100074	Α	10-01-1991	SE	465999 B	02-12-1991
	-1000/7	*,	10 01 1991	AT	102814 T	15-04-1994
				AU	5939590 A	15-04-1994 17-01-1991
				BR	9007479 A	16-06-1992
				CA	2063257 A1	
				DE	69007483 D1	
				DE	69007483 T2	23-06-1994
				DK	479935 T3	30-05-1994
				EP	0479935 A1	. 15-04-1992
	•			ES	2049993 T3	01-05-1994
				JP	2947610 B2	
				ĴΡ	4506468 T	12-11-1992
				KR	157987 B1	
				SE	8902333 A	29-12-1990
				WO	9100074 A1	
				SE	9002267 A	29-12-1990
			·	SE	9002268 A	29-12-1990
US	6048332	A	11-04-2000	NONE		
WO	0224246	Α	28-03-2002	AU	9006001 A	02-04-2002
WU	V444	•••	20 00 2002	EP	1324785 A1	
				ΜO	0224246 A1	
				ÜS	2004030301 A1	
US	4603152	Α	29-07-1986	AU	582796 B2	
				ΑU	4861885 A	17-04-1986
				EP	0197068 A1	. 15-10-1986
				JP	62500432 T	26-02-1987
				WO	8602006 A1	
				ÜS	4677143 A	30-06-1987
				ZA	8507530 A	28-05-1986
				ĀÜ	2039883 A	22-05-1984
				CA	1224717 A1	
				EP	0124536 A1	
				ES	8504464 A1	
				WO	8401721 A1	10-05-1984
US	6053905	Α	25-04-2000	AU	3306299 A	06-09-1999
				CA	2287187 A1	
				EP	0977610 A2	
				JP	2001517136 T	02-10-2001
	*		·	WO	9942155 A2	26-08-1999
US	6050934	Α	18-04-2000	NONE		
US	3598127	Α	10-08-1971	NONE		
	4834711	Α	30-05-1989	NONE		بنت جو زیبانی ہے۔ ہے۔ ہیں تلک النانی سے بہت سے ہیں سے